

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22013-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/925,836	08/07/2001	William F. Scholz	46991/GTL/A23	5259
75	590 08/14/2003			
GRANT T. LANGTON, ESQ. JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP 1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS			EXAMINER	
			AHMAD, NASSER	
7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-4308		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	16
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/925,836	SCHOLZ ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nasser Ahmad	1772				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 25 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 July 2003</u>. Appeal 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2.</li> <li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		<i>,</i>				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in	•	rially reducing or simplifying the				
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· ·					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>38-42</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: 44,45 and 49.						
Claim(s) rejected: 43,46-48 and 50-56.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
		Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 1772				

mc 16

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's acknowledgement that Samonides' adhesive is "very close to its final form" is precisely what the Examiner has been trying to relay to the applicant. This phrase of Samonides clearly teaches that the adhesive is in the "non-final" state as is being argued by the applicant. Further, McNaul teaches the missing element of Samonides and the combination would render the claimed invention obvious. Also, McNaul clearly teaches that the film is applied over the adhesive prior to the printing step.